



Support sizes of threefold quadruple systems[☆]

Miao Liang^{a,b}, Jian Wang^c, Beiliang Du^{a,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Soochow University (Suzhou University), Suzhou 215006, PR China

^b Foundation Department, Suzhou Vocational University, Suzhou 215104, PR China

^c Natong Vocational College, Natong 226007, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 February 2010

Received in revised form 18 January 2011

Accepted 2 February 2011

Available online 2 March 2011

Keywords:

Quadruple systems

Support sizes

Candelabra quadruple systems

ABSTRACT

The support of a quadruple system $QS_\lambda(v)$ is the set of distinct blocks in the design, and the support size is the number of distinct blocks. Let $q_v = \frac{v(v-1)(v-2)}{24}$ and $t_v = q_v + \frac{5v}{3}$. In this paper we show that the set of support sizes of a threefold quadruple system $QS_3(v)$ with $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ elements can have any number from $[q_v + 14, 3q_v] \cup \{q_v, q_v + 8, q_v + 12\}$ provided $v \geq 38$, a threefold quadruple system $QS_3(v)$ with $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ elements can have any number from $[t_v + 14, 3q_v] \cup \{t_v, t_v + 8, t_v + 12\}$ provided $v \geq 42$.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A t -wise balanced design of order v , block sizes K (assume that each block size in K is not less than t) and index λ , or $t - (v, K, \lambda)$ design is a pair (V, \mathcal{B}) ; V is a v -set, and \mathcal{B} is a collection of subsets of V called *blocks*, for which each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ has $|B| \in K$, and every t -subset of V appears in precisely λ of these blocks. When $K = \{k\}$, we only write k for K . A $3 - (v, 4, \lambda)$ design is called a quadruple system and is denoted by $QS_\lambda(v)$. We will denote a $QS_1(v)$ by $SQS(v)$. A $QS_\lambda(v)$ is called *simple* if there is no repeated block. The existence problem for quadruple systems has been completely settled by Hanani [10,11].

Theorem 1.1. A $QS_\lambda(v)$ exists if and only if $\lambda v \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $\lambda(v-1)(v-2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $\lambda v(v-1)(v-2) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and $v \geq 4$.

For simple quadruple systems, we have the following result which is devoted by Phelps et al. in [18].

Theorem 1.2. There exists a simple $QS_3(v)$ for any $v \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

The main problem we considered in this paper concerns the number of distinct blocks in a $QS_\lambda(v)$. The support of a $QS_\lambda(v)$ is the set of distinct blocks in the design, and the support size is the number of distinct blocks. Foody and Hedayat [8] describe a number of statistical applications for designs with specified support size. For triple systems $TS_\lambda(v)$, that is $2 - (v, 3, \lambda)$ designs, the spectrum of possible support sizes is essentially determined by the work of many researchers [5–7,19,4]. For quadruple systems, however, little is known. Let $QSS(v, \lambda)$ denote the set of support sizes of $QS_\lambda(v)$. Colbourn and Hartman [3] determined many values in $QSS(v, \lambda)$ for $\lambda = 2, 3$. Ajoodani-Namini [2] determined the set $QSS(8m, \lambda)$ for $m \geq 6$ with at most 5 possible omissions for each $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

In this paper, we focus on the threefold quadruple systems. Let $q_v = \frac{v(v-1)(v-2)}{24}$ and $t_v = q_v + \frac{5v}{3}$. From [3,15] we have the following result.

[☆] This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10871143).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: dubl@suda.edu.cn (B. Du).

Theorem 1.3. (1) $[q_v + 14, 2q_v] \cup \{q_v, q_v + 8, q_v + 12\} \subset QSS(v, 3)$ for $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ and $v \geq 16, v \neq 26$.
 (2) $[t_v + 14, 2q_v + \frac{5v}{6}] \cup \{t_v, t_v + 8, t_v + 12\} \subset QSS(v, 3)$ for $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

We will prove the following theorem in this paper.

Theorem 1.4. (1) $(2q_v, 3q_v] \subset QSS(v, 3)$ for $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ and $v \geq 38$.
 (2) $(2q_v + \frac{5v}{6}, 3q_v] \subset QSS(v, 3)$ for $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $v \geq 42$.

Let

$$I(v) = \begin{cases} [q_v + 14, 3q_v] \cup \{q_v, q_v + 8, q_v + 12\}, & v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6} \\ [t_v + 14, 3q_v] \cup \{t_v, t_v + 8, t_v + 12\}, & v \equiv 0 \pmod{6} \end{cases}$$

we then have the following existence result on QSSs.

Theorem 1.5. $I(v) \subset QSS(v, 3)$ for $v \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $v \geq 38$.

From [2] we know that Theorem 1.5 determines $QSS(v, 3)$ for $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$. That is we have

Theorem 1.6. $I(v) = QSS(v, 3)$ for $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ and $v \geq 38$.

2. Intersection of Latin cubes

Let v be a non-negative integer, let λ, k and t be positive integers. A *group divisible t -design* (or t -GDD) of order v with block size k denoted by $GDD_\lambda(t, k, v)$ is a triple $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ such that

- (1) X is a set of cardinality v (called *points*),
- (2) $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots\}$ is a set of non-empty subsets of X (called *groups*) such that (X, \mathcal{G}) is a 1-design,
- (3) \mathcal{B} is a family of subsets of X (called *blocks*) with size k , such that each block intersects any given group in at most one point,
- (4) each t -set of points from t distinct groups is contained in exactly λ blocks.

The *type* of t -GDD is defined as the multiset $\{|G| : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$. We will denote a $GDD_1(t, k, v)$ by $GDD(t, k, v)$. The *support* of a $GDD_\lambda(t, k, v)$ is the set of distinct blocks in the design, and the *support size* is the number of distinct blocks.

A $GDD(3, 4, 24)$ of type 6^4 has four groups of size 6. Treating the groups as rows, columns, planes and symbols establishes a one-one correspondence between $GDD(3, 4, 24)$ of type 6^4 designs and Latin cubes of size 6. Hence we treat the intersection problem for Latin cubes of size 6 here. Three Latin cubes have three-way intersection size s_1 if there are precisely s_1 cells in which they contain the same symbol, two-way intersection size s_2 if there are precisely s_2 cells in which two of them contain the same symbol but not in all. We denote by $LCI(n, 3)$ the spectrum of intersection sizes for three Latin cubes of order n , that is

$$LCI(n, 3) = \{(s_1, s_2) : \text{there are three Latin cubes of order } n, \text{ with three-way intersection size } s_1 \text{ and two-way intersection size } s_2\}.$$

First observe that for three Latin cubes of order 2, the intersection sizes realized are $(0, 8)$ and $(8, 0)$. Now a Latin cube of order 6 can be constructed from 27 Latin cubes of order 2, and hence three Latin cubes of order 6 which intersect in $(8u_1, 8u_2)$ positions exist for $0 \leq u_1, u_2 \leq 27$ and $u_1 + u_2 = 27$.

Lemma 2.1. $(8u_1, 8u_2) \in LCI(6, 3)$ for $0 \leq u_1, u_2 \leq 27$ and $u_1 + u_2 = 27$. \square

Similarly, three Latin cubes of order 3 can intersect in $(27, 0), (9, 18), (3, 18), (0, 0), (0, 18)$ and $(0, 27)$ positions. A Latin cube of order 6 can be composed of eight Latin cubes of order 3, and hence three Latin cubes of order 6 which intersect in $(27u_1 + 9u_2 + 3u_3, 18u_2 + 18u_3 + 18u_4 + 27u_5)$ positions exist for $0 \leq u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5 \leq 8$ and $0 \leq u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 + u_5 \leq 8$.

Lemma 2.2. $(27u_1 + 9u_2 + 3u_3, 18u_2 + 18u_3 + 18u_4 + 27u_5) \in LCI(6, 3)$ for $0 \leq u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5 \leq 8$ and $0 \leq u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 + u_5 \leq 8$. \square

One can also employ three Latin squares of order 6 three-way intersecting s cells, and develop each cyclically into a Latin cube. The resulting Latin cubes three-way intersect in $6s$ positions. Hence for $s \in [0, 19] \cup \{27, 36\}$, $(6s, 0)$ is the intersection size of three Latin cubes of order 6 [1].

Lemma 2.3. $(6s, 0) \in LCI(6, 3)$ for $s \in [0, 19] \cup \{27, 36\}$. \square

We know that two Latin cubes of order 6 can intersect $6s$ positions for $s \in [0, 30] \cup \{32, 36\}$ [9], so three Latin cubes of order 6 can intersect $(6s, 216 - 6s)$ positions for $s \in [0, 30] \cup \{32, 36\}$.

Lemma 2.4. $(6s, 216 - 6s) \in LCI(6, 3)$ for $s \in [0, 30] \cup \{32, 36\}$. \square

We also know that two Latin cubes of order 6 can intersect s positions for $s \in S$ [3], where $S = \{0, 1, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 18, 24, 27, 30, 32, 36, 40, 42, 45, 48, 54, 56, 60, 63, 64, 66, 72, 78, 80, 81, 84, 88, 92, 96, 99, 102, 104, 108, 112, 114, 117, 120, 126, 128, 132, 135, 136, 138, 144, 150, 152, 153, 156, 160, 168, 171, 174, 176, 180, 184, 189, 192, 195, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 204, 208, 216\}$. So we have

Lemma 2.5. $(s, 216 - s) \in LCI(6, 3)$ for $s \in S$. \square

We also need the following result.

Lemma 2.6. $(s_1, s_2) \in LCI(6, 3)$ for $(s_1, s_2) \in \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 4), (0, 8)\}$.

Proof. We exhibit three cubes intersecting in $(s_1, s_2) \in \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 4), (0, 8)\}$. Here we underline the same value n which is in the same position of two different cubes. \square

$(s_1, s_2) = (0, 1)$					
Cube 1					
<u>0</u> 12,345	234,501	450,123	123,450	345,012	501,234
123,054	305,412	541,230	234,105	410,523	052,341
234,501	450,123	012,345	345,012	501,234	123,450
305,412	541,230	123,054	410,523	052,341	234,105
450,123	012,345	234,501	501,234	123,450	345,012
541,230	123,054	305,412	052,341	234,105	410,523
Cube 2					
043,152	315,240	524,031	401,325	132,504	250,413
401,325	132,504	250,413	043,251	325,140	514,032
315,240	524,031	403,152	132,504	250,412	041,325
132,504	250,413	041,325	325,140	514,032	403,251
524,031	403,152	315,240	250,413	041,325	132,504
250,413	041,325	132,504	514,032	403,251	325,140
Cube 3					
325,410	541,032	103,254	234,501	450,123	012,345
234,501	450,123	012,345	325,410	541,032	103,254
541,032	103,254	325,410	450,123	012,345	234,501
450,123	012,345	234,501	541,032	103,254	325,410
103,254	325,410	541,032	012,345	234,501	450,123
012,345	234,501	450,123	103,254	325,410	541,032
$(s_1, s_2) = (0, 2)$					
Cube 1					
<u>0</u> 12,345	234,501	450,123	123,450	345,012	50,1234
1 <u>0</u> 3,254	325,410	541,032	214,305	430,521	052,143
234,501	450,123	012,345	345,012	501,234	123,450
325,410	541,032	103,254	430,521	052,143	214,305
450,123	012,345	234,501	501,234	123,450	345,012
541,032	103,254	325,410	052,143	214,305	430,521
Cube 2					
031,452	145,230	523,014	304,125	412,503	250,341
304,125	412,503	250,341	031,452	145,230	523,014
145,230	523,014	301,452	412,503	250,341	034,125
412,503	250,341	034,125	145,230	523,014	301,452
523,014	301,452	145,230	250,341	034,125	412,503
250,341	034,125	412,503	523,014	301,452	145,230
Cube 3					
453,120	312,045	204,531	541,302	130,254	025,413
541,302	130,254	025,413	453,120	312,045	204,531

$(s_1, s_2) = (0, 2)$					
312,045	204,531	453,120	130,254	025,413	541,302
130,254	035,413	541,302	312,045	204,531	453,120
204,531	453,120	312,045	205,413	541,302	130,254
025,413	541,302	130,254	204,531	453,120	312,045
$(s_1, s_2) = (0, 4)$					
Cube 1					
<u>0</u> 12,345	234,501	450,123	123,450	345,012	501,234
<u>1</u> 03,254	325,410	541,032	214,305	430,521	052,143
2 <u>3</u> 4,501	450,123	012,345	345,012	501,234	123,450
325,4 <u>1</u> 0	541,032	103,254	430,521	052,143	214,305
450,123	012,345	234,501	501,234	123,450	345,012
541,032	103,254	325,410	052,143	214,305	430,521
Cube 2					
<u>0</u> 31,452	145,230	523,014	304,125	412,503	250,341
<u>3</u> 04,125	412,503	250,341	031,452	145,230	523,014
145,203	523,014	301,452	412,530	250,341	034,125
412,5 <u>3</u> 0	250,341	034,125	145,203	523,014	301,452
523,014	301,452	145,230	250,341	034,125	412,503
250,341	034,125	412,503	523,014	301,452	145,230
Cube 3					
453,120	312,045	204,531	541,302	130,254	025,413
541,302	130,254	025,413	453,120	312,045	204,531
312,045	204,531	453,120	130,254	025,413	541,302
130,254	035,413	541,302	312,045	204,531	453,120
204,531	453,120	312,045	205,413	541,302	130,254
025,413	541,302	130,254	204,531	453,120	312,045
$(s_1, s_2) = (0, 8)$					
Cube 1					
<u>0</u> 12,345	234,501	450123	<u>1</u> 23,450	345,012	501,234
<u>1</u> 03,254	325,410	541032	<u>2</u> 14,305	430,521	052,143
234,501	450,123	012,345	345,012	501,234	123,450
325,410	541,032	103,254	430,521	052,143	214,305
450,123	012,345	234,501	501,234	123,450	345,012
541,032	103,254	325,410	052,143	214,305	430,521
Cube 2					
<u>0</u> 13,254	325,410	451,032	<u>1</u> 04,325	432,501	251,043
<u>1</u> 02,345	234,501	450,123	<u>0</u> 13,452	345,210	521,034
325,410	541,032	103,254	432,501	250,143	014,325
234,501	450,123	012,345	345,210	521,034	103,452
541,032	103,254	325,410	250,143	014,325	432,501
451,023	012,345	234,501	521,034	103,452	345,210
Cube 3					
234,501	451,023	102,345	345,210	520,134	013,452
325,410	540,132	013,254	432,501	251,043	104,325
451,023	102,345	234,510	320,134	013,452	345,201
540,132	013,254	325,401	251,043	104,325	432,510
102,345	234,510	451,023	013,452	345,201	520,134
013,254	325,401	540,132	104,325	432,510	251,043

Table 2.1

157 support size of three Latin cubes of order 6.

216	224	228	230	231	232	233	234	235	237	240	243	246	248	252
256	258	261	264	270	272	273	276	279	280	282	288	291	294	296
297	300	303	304	306	309	312	315	318	320	321	324	327	328	330
333	336	339	340	342	344	345	348	351	352	354	357	360	363	366
368	369	372	375	376	378	381	384	387	390	392	393	396	399	400
402	405	408	411	414	416	417	420	423	424	426	428	429	431	432
435	438	441	444	447	450	453	456	459	462	465	468	471	474	477
480	483	486	489	492	495	498	501	504	507	510	513	516	519	522
525	528	531	534	537	540	543	546	549	552	555	558	561	564	567
570	573	576	579	582	585	588	594	597	600	603	606	612	621	624
630	636	640	644	646	647	648								

Combining Lemma 2.1 to Lemma 2.6 we have obtained 659 different intersect sizes in LCI(6, 3). We also have 157 different “support sizes” of three Latin cubes of order 6, where we say the value $s = 648 - (s_1 + s_2)$ is the *support size* of three Latin cubes of order 6. It is easy to see that the support size of three Latin cubes of order 6 is equal to the support size of the corresponding $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 24)$ of type 6^4 .

Although we have by no means determined all support sizes, we have determined enough for use in the construction. We exploit the fact that many ingredients of $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 24)$ of type 6^4 are used in the later constructions of Sections 3, 4. In these constructions, all such ingredients employ the same groups, and any two such ingredients are on at most two common groups. Hence the set of quadruples arising from one $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 24)$ of type 6^4 are guaranteed to be disjoint from that of another $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 24)$ of type 6^4 ; we say that the corresponding GDDs are compatible.

Lemma 2.7. For each $k \geq 3$, and each s satisfying $216k \leq s \leq 648k$, $s \neq 216k + t$ for $t \in [1, 13] \setminus \{8, 12\}$, there exist k compatible $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 24)$ s of type 6^4 , such that the sum of the support sizes of the GDDs is precisely s . That is, there exist s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k in Table 2.1 such that $s = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} s_i$.

Proof. We use mathematical induction to prove the result. For s satisfying $648 \leq s \leq 1944$, $s \neq 648 + t$ for $t \in [1, 13] \setminus \{8, 12\}$, it is easy to check directly that there exist s_1, s_2, s_3 in Table 2.1 such that $s = s_1 + s_2 + s_3$. So the result is true for $k = 3$. Assume the result is true for $k = n > 3$. That is, for each s satisfying $216n \leq s \leq 648n$, $s \neq 216n + t$ for $t \in [1, 13] \setminus \{8, 12\}$, there exist s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n in Table 2.1 such that $s = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} s_i$. For s satisfying $216(n+1) \leq s \leq 648(n+1)$, $s \neq 216(n+1) + t$ for $t \in [1, 13] \setminus \{8, 12\}$, there exists a $s_{n+1} \in \{216, 648\}$ such that $s' = s - s_{n+1}$ satisfying $216n \leq s' \leq 648n$, $s' \neq 216n + t$ for $t \in [1, 13] \setminus \{8, 12\}$. We know that the result is true for $k = n + 1$. \square

We also need the following result on the support sizes of a $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 36)$ of type 6^6 .

Lemma 2.8. For $135 \leq s \leq 270$ and $s = 405$, there exists a $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 36)$ of type 6^6 whose support size is $8s$.

Proof. We first consider the case when $135 \leq s \leq 270$. If there exist a $\text{GDD}(3, 4, 18)$ of type 3^6 and a $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 8)$ of type 2^4 , we can construct a $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 36)$ of type 6^6 as follows: develop the assumed $\text{GDD}(3, 4, 18)$ by doubling on the sets $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{18}\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{18}\}$ so that $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, a_{i_3}, a_{i_4}\}$ is a block if and only if $\{b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, b_{i_3}, b_{i_4}\}$ is so, and put the assumed $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 8)$ on the set $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, a_{i_3}, a_{i_4}\} \cup \{b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, b_{i_3}, b_{i_4}\}$ with the set $\{a_{i_j}, b_{i_j} : 1 \leq j \leq 4\}$ of groups for every block $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, a_{i_3}, a_{i_4}\}$. Then the family of all such blocks of the $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 8)$'s on $A \cup B$ is the desired GDD. Since it is known that there are a $\text{GDD}(3, 4, 18)$ of type 3^6 with 135 blocks (cf. [16]) and a $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 8)$ of type 2^4 with support size 8 and 16 (from the argument in front of Lemma 2.1), we have a $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 36)$ of type 6^6 with support size $8x + 16(135 - x)$ for every $0 \leq x \leq 135$, i.e. $8s$, $135 \leq s \leq 270$.

For the case $s = 405$. If there exist a $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 18)$ of type 3^6 and a $\text{GDD}(3, 4, 8)$ of type 2^4 , we can construct a $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 36)$ of type 6^6 as follows: develop the assumed $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 18)$ by doubling on the sets $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{18}\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{18}\}$ so that $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, a_{i_3}, a_{i_4}\}$ is a block if and only if $\{b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, b_{i_3}, b_{i_4}\}$ is so, and put the assumed $\text{GDD}(3, 4, 8)$ on the set $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, a_{i_3}, a_{i_4}\} \cup \{b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, b_{i_3}, b_{i_4}\}$ with the set $\{a_{i_j}, b_{i_j} : 1 \leq j \leq 4\}$ of groups for every block $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, a_{i_3}, a_{i_4}\}$. Then the family of all such blocks of the $\text{GDD}(3, 4, 8)$'s on $A \cup B$ is the desired GDD. If there is a $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 18)$ of type 3^6 with 405 blocks, we then have a $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 36)$ of type 6^6 with support size 8×405 by using the fact that there is a $\text{GDD}(3, 4, 8)$ of type 2^4 with support size 8. We now construct a simple $\text{GDD}_3(3, 4, 18)$ of type 3^6 with 405 blocks as follows:

Point set: $X = Z_3 \times Z_6$.

Group set: $G_i = Z_3 \times \{i\}$, $i \in Z_6$.

Block set:

$\{(i, 0), (i + k, 1), (j, 2), (j + k, 3)\}, \{(i, 0), (i + k, 1), (j, 2), (j + k, 4)\},$
 $\{(i, 0), (i + k, 1), (j, 2), (j + k, 5)\}, \{(i, 0), (i + k, 1), (j, 3), (j + k, 4)\},$
 $\{(i, 0), (i + k, 1), (j, 3), (j + k, 5)\}, \{(i, 0), (i + k, 1), (j, 4), (j + k, 5)\},$
 $\{(i, 2), (i + k, 3), (j, 4), (j + k, 5)\}, \{(i, 2), (i + k, 3), (j, 4), (j + k, 0)\},$
 $\{(i, 2), (i + k, 3), (j, 4), (j + k, 1)\}, \{(i, 2), (i + k, 3), (j, 5), (j + k, 0)\},$
 $\{(i, 2), (i + k, 3), (j, 5), (j + k, 1)\}, \{(i, 4), (i + k, 5), (j, 0), (j + k, 2)\},$
 $\{(i, 4), (i + k, 5), (j, 0), (j + k, 3)\}, \{(i, 4), (i + k, 5), (j, 1), (j + k, 2)\},$
 $\{(i, 4), (i + k, 5), (j, 1), (j + k, 3)\}, i, j, k \in Z_3. \quad \square$

3. A construction for QSSs

A *candelabra t -system* (or t -CS) of order v is a quadruple $(X, S, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ where X is a set of v points, S is a subset (called *stem*) of X , $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots\}$ is a partition of $X \setminus S$ into subsets (called *groups* or *branches*) and \mathcal{B} is a family of subsets (called *blocks*) of X which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) every t -subset T of X with $|T \cap (S \cup G_i)| < t$ for all i is contained in λ blocks,
- (2) no t -subset of $S \cup G_i$ for all i is contained in any block.

A t -CS of order v with block sizes from a set K of some positive integers is denoted by $CS_\lambda(t, K, v)$. When $K = \{k\}$, we only write k for K . If a t -CS has n_i groups of size g_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, and stem size s , then we say that the *group type* (or *type*) of this system is $(g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} \dots g_r^{n_r} : s)$. A $CS_\lambda(3, 4, v)$ of type $(g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} \dots g_r^{n_r} : s)$ is also called a *candelabra quadruple system* (as in [14]) and is simply denoted by $CQS_\lambda(g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} \dots g_r^{n_r} : s)$. We will denote a $CQS_1(g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} \dots g_r^{n_r} : s)$ by $CQS(g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} \dots g_r^{n_r} : s)$.

A $CQS_\lambda(g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} \dots g_r^{n_r} : s)$ is called *simple* if there is no repeated blocks. The *support* of a $CQS_\lambda(g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} \dots g_r^{n_r} : s)$ is the set of distinct blocks in the design, and the *support size* is the number of distinct blocks. Let $CQSS_\lambda(g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} \dots g_r^{n_r} : s)$ denote the set of support sizes of $CQS_\lambda(g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} \dots g_r^{n_r} : s)$.

For a $CQS(6^u : 0)$, Mills [16] established the following existence result.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a $CQS(6^u : 0)$ for any $u \geq 1$.*

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a simple $CQS_3(6^3 : 0)$.*

Proof. The desired design will be constructed on $Z_6 \times Z_3$ with groups $Z_6 \times \{i\}$, $i \in Z_3$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \{\{0, 2\}, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 4\}\}, & F_2 &= \{\{2, 4\}, \{1, 5\}, \{0, 3\}\}, \\ F_3 &= \{\{0, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 5\}\}, & F_4 &= \{\{2, 3\}, \{0, 5\}, \{1, 4\}\}, \\ F_5 &= \{\{1, 2\}, \{4, 5\}, \{0, 3\}\}, & F_6 &= \{\{0, 1\}, \{3, 4\}, \{2, 5\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The required block set consists of the following four parts:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(x, i), (x + k, i), (y, i + 1), (z, i + 2) : x + y + z = 2i, k = 1, 2\}, \\ &\{(x, i), (x + 4, i), (y, i + 1), (z, i + 2) : x + y + z = 2i + 1, \}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y, z \in Z_6$ and $i \in Z_3$;

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(a, i), (b, i), (a', j), (b', j) : \{a, b\} \in F_{2k-1}, \{a', b'\} \in F_{2k}, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i, j \in Z_3, i \neq j\}, \\ &\{(a, i), (b, i), (a', j), (b', j) : \{a, b\}, \{a', b'\} \in F_k, k \in \{4, 5, 6\}, 0 \leq i < j \leq 2\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a simple $CQS_3(6^3 : 2)$.*

Proof. The desired design will be constructed on $Z_6 \times Z_3 \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2\}$ with groups $Z_6 \times \{i\}$, $i \in Z_3$, and a stem $\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \{\{0, 2\}, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 4\}\}, & F_1 &= \{\{2, 4\}, \{1, 5\}, \{0, 3\}\}, \\ F_2 &= \{\{0, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 5\}\}, & F_3 &= \{\{0, 1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}\}, \\ F_4 &= \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 0\}\}, & F_5 &= \{\{0, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 5\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The required block set consists of the following six parts:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(x + k, 0), (y, 1), (z, 2), \infty_1) : x + y + z = 0, k = 0, 2, 4\}, \\ &\{(x + k, 0), (y, 1), (z, 2), \infty_2) : x + y + z = 0, k = 1, 3, 5\}, \\ &\{(x, i), (x + 2, i), (y, i + 1), (z, i + 2) : x + y + z = 2i, i \in Z_3\}, \\ &\{(x, i), (x + 2, i), (y, i + 1), (z, i + 2) : x + y + z = 2i + 1, i \in Z_3\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y, z \in Z_6$;

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(a, i), (b, i), (a', j), (b', j) : \{a, b\}, \{a', b'\} \in F_k, k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, 0 \leq i < j \leq 2\}, \\ &\{(a, i), (b, i), (a', j), (b', j) : \{a, b\} \in F_k, \{a', b'\} \in F_{k'}, (k, k') = (3, 4), (3, 5), (4, 5), i, j \in Z_3, i \neq j\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a simple $CQS_3(6^3 : 4)$.*

Proof. The desired design will be constructed on $Z_6 \times Z_3 \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3, \infty_4\}$ with groups $Z_6 \times \{i\}$, $i \in Z_3$, and a stem $\{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3, \infty_4\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \{\{0, 2\}, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 4\}\}, & F_1 &= \{\{2, 4\}, \{1, 5\}, \{0, 3\}\}, \\ F_2 &= \{\{0, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 5\}\}, & F_3 &= \{\{0, 1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}\}, \\ F_4 &= \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 0\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The required block set consists of the following seven parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \{(x+k, 0), (y, 1), (z, 2), \infty_1\} : x+y+z=0, k=0, 2, 4\}, \\ \{(x+k, 0), (y, 1), (z, 2), \infty_2\} : x+y+z=0, k=1, 3, 5\}, \\ \{(x+k, 0), (y, 1), (z, 2), \infty_3\} : x+y+z=0, k=0, 2, 4\}, \\ \{(x+k, 0), (y, 1), (z, 2), \infty_4\} : x+y+z=0, k=1, 3, 5\}, \\ \{(x, i), (x+1, i), (y, i+1), (z, i-1)\} : x+y+z=2i, i \in Z_3\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y, z \in Z_6$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{(a, i), (b, i), (a', j), (b', j)\} : \{a, b\}, \{a', b'\} \in F_k, k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, 0 \leq i < j \leq 2\}, \\ \{(a, i), (b, i), (a', j), (b', j)\} : \{a, b\} \in F_k, \{a', b'\} \in F_{k'}, (k, k') = (0, 1), (2, 0), (1, 2), (3, 4), i, j \in Z_3, i \neq j\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.5. *There exists a simple CQS₃(6³ : 6).*

Proof. The desired design will be constructed on $Z_6 \times Z_3 \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3, \infty_4, \infty_5, \infty_6\}$ with groups $Z_6 \times \{i\}$, $i \in Z_3$, and a stem $\{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3, \infty_4, \infty_5, \infty_6\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \{\{0, 2\}, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 4\}\}, & F_1 &= \{\{2, 4\}, \{1, 5\}, \{0, 3\}\}, \\ F_2 &= \{\{0, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 5\}\}, & F_3 &= \{\{0, 1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}\}, \\ F_4 &= \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 0\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The required block set consists of the following seven parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \{(x+k, 0), (y, 1), (z, 2), \infty_1\} : x+y+z=0, k=0, 2, 4\}, \\ \{(x+k, 0), (y, 1), (z, 2), \infty_2\} : x+y+z=0, k=1, 3, 5\}, \\ \{(x+k, 0), (y, 1), (z, 2), \infty_3\} : x+y+z=0, k=0, 2, 4\}, \\ \{(x+k, 0), (y, 1), (z, 2), \infty_4\} : x+y+z=0, k=1, 3, 5\}, \\ \{(x+k, 0), (y, 1), (z, 2), \infty_5\} : x+y+z=0, k=0, 2, 4\}, \\ \{(x+k, 0), (y, 1), (z, 2), \infty_6\} : x+y+z=0, k=1, 3, 5\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y, z \in Z_6$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{(a, i), (b, i), (a', j), (b', j)\} : \{a, b\}, \{a', b'\} \in F_k, k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, 0 \leq i < j \leq 2\}, \\ \{(a, i), (b, i), (a', j), (b', j)\} : \{a, b\} \in F_k, \{a', b'\} \in F_{k'}, (k, k') = (0, 1), (2, 3), (4, 0), (1, 2), (3, 4), \\ i, j \in Z_3, i \neq j\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

If a $QS_\lambda(v)(V, \mathcal{B})$ contains a $QS_\lambda(w)(W, \mathcal{B}')$ such that $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$ and $W \subset V$. We say that the $QS_\lambda(w)$ is a *subdesign* of (V, \mathcal{B}) . We use the notation $QS_\lambda(v : w)$ to denote a quadruple system of order v missing a subdesign of order w . If we allow the subdesign to be missing, we have an incomplete quadruple system which we will also denote by $QS_\lambda(v : w)$. We can regard that the existence of $QS_\lambda(v : w)$ is equivalent to the existence of $QS_\lambda(v)$ for $w = 1, 2$.

Construction 3.6. Assume the following exist:

1. a $CQS_\lambda(g^n u^1 : s)$ with support size r ,
2. n $QS_\lambda(g + s : s)$ s, each of which has support size s_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$,
3. a $QS_\lambda(u + s)$ with support size h .

Then there exists a $QS_\lambda(ng + u + s)$ with support size $r + h + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} s_i$.

In the following construction, we begin with an $SQS(v)(X \cup \{\infty\}, \mathcal{B})$ in [Theorem 1.1](#) if $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ or a $CQS(6 \frac{v}{6} : 0)(X \cup \{\infty\}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$ in [Lemma 3.1](#) if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, and delete point ∞ (as in [17]). Let $\mathcal{B}_\infty = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : \infty \in B\}$, $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\infty$. We know that $|\mathcal{B}'| = \frac{(v-1)(v-2)(v-4)}{24}$ and $|\mathcal{B}_\infty| = \frac{(v-1)(v-2)}{6}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_\infty = \{B \in \mathcal{A} : \infty \in B\}$, $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\infty$. We know that $|\mathcal{A}'| = \frac{(v+3)(v-4)(v-6)}{24}$ and $|\mathcal{A}_\infty| = \frac{(v+3)(v-6)}{6}$. Let $u = \frac{(v-1)(v-2)(v-4)}{24}$, $u_1 = \frac{(v-1)(v-2)}{6}$, $u' = \frac{(v+3)(v-4)(v-6)}{24}$ and $u'_1 = \frac{(v+3)(v-6)}{6}$.

Construction 3.7. Let $v \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Assume the following exist:

1. u GDD $_{\lambda}(3, 4, 4g)$ s of type g^4 , each of which has support size s_i , $1 \leq i \leq u$, if $v \equiv 2, 4(\bmod 6)$, or u' GDD $_{\lambda}(3, 4, 4g)$ s of type g^4 , each of which has support size s_i , $1 \leq i \leq u'$ if $v \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$,
2. $\frac{v}{6} - 1$ GDD $_{\lambda}(3, 4, 6g)$ s of type g^6 , each of which has support size h_i , $1 \leq i \leq \frac{v}{6} - 1$, if $v \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$,
3. a simple CQS $_{\lambda}(g^3 : s)$ with n_1 blocks,
4. a simple QS $_{\lambda}(g + s : s)$ with n_2 blocks,
5. a simple QS $_{\lambda}(g + s)$ with m_1 blocks if $v \equiv 2, 4(\bmod 6)$, or a simple QS $_{\lambda}(5g + s)$ with m_2 blocks if $v \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$.

Then there exists a QS $_{\lambda}(g(v-1) + s)$ with support size $u_1 n_1 + (v-2)n_2 + m_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq u} s_i$ if $v \equiv 2, 4(\bmod 6)$, or with support size $u'_1 n_1 + (v-6)n_2 + m_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq u'} s_i + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{v}{6}-1} h_i$ if $v \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$.

Proof. We begin with an SQS (v) if $v \equiv 2, 4(\bmod 6)$, or a CQS $(6\frac{v}{6} : 0)$ if $v \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$, and delete a point to get a $3-(v-1, \{3, 4\}, 1)$ design $(X, \mathcal{B}' \cup \mathcal{B}'_{\infty})$ (where $\mathcal{B}'_{\infty} = \{B \setminus \{\infty\} : B \in \mathcal{B}'\}$) if $v \equiv 2, 4(\bmod 6)$, or a $3-(v-1, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, 1)$ design $(X, \mathcal{A}' \cup \mathcal{A}'_{\infty} \cup \{G : G \in \mathcal{G}, \infty \notin G\} \cup \{G \setminus \{\infty\} : G \in \mathcal{G}, \infty \in G\})$ (where $\mathcal{A}'_{\infty} = \{B \setminus \{\infty\} : B \in \mathcal{A}'\}$) if $v \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$. We shall construct the desired design on $Y = (X \times Z_g) \cup S$, where $S \cap (X \times Z_g) = \emptyset$ and $|S| = s$.

For each block $B_i \in \mathcal{B}'$ or $B_i \in \mathcal{A}'$, construct a GDD $_{\lambda}(3, 4, 4g)$ of type g^4 with support size s_i on $B_i \times Z_g$ with groups $\{x\} \times Z_g, x \in B_i$. Denote its block set by \mathcal{C}_{B_i} .

For each block $B_i \in \{G : G \in \mathcal{G}, \infty \notin G\}$, construct a GDD $_{\lambda}(3, 4, 6g)$ of type g^6 with support size h_i on $B_i \times Z_g$ with groups $\{x\} \times Z_g, x \in B_i$. Denote its block set by \mathcal{C}'_{B_i} . It is easy to see that the number of blocks in $\{G : G \in \mathcal{G}, \infty \notin G\}$ is $\frac{v}{6} - 1$.

For each block $B \in \mathcal{B}'_{\infty}$ or $B \in \mathcal{A}'_{\infty}$, construct a simple CQS $_{\lambda}(g^3 : s)$ with n_1 blocks on $(B \times Z_g) \cup S$. Denote its block set by \mathcal{C}''_B .

If $v \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$, for the unique block $B \in \{G \setminus \{\infty\} : G \in \mathcal{G}, \infty \in G\}$, construct a simple QS $_{\lambda}(5g + s)$ with m_2 blocks on $(B \times Z_g) \cup S$. For each point $x \in X \setminus B$, construct a simple QS $_{\lambda}(g + s : s)$ with n_2 blocks on $(\{x\} \times Z_g) \cup S$ with a subdesign on S . Denote their block set by \mathcal{C} .

If $v \equiv 2, 4(\bmod 6)$, fix a point $z \in X$, construct a simple QS $_{\lambda}(g + s)$ with m_1 blocks on $(\{z\} \times Z_g) \cup S$. For each point $x \in X \setminus \{z\}$, construct a simple QS $_{\lambda}(g + s : s)$ with n_2 blocks on $(\{x\} \times Z_g) \cup S$ with a subdesign on S . Denote their block set by \mathcal{C}' .

Let $\mathcal{A}^* = (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq u'} \mathcal{C}_{B_i}) \cup (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{v}{6}-1} \mathcal{C}'_{B_i}) \cup (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq u'_1} \mathcal{C}''_{B_i}) \cup \mathcal{C}$, and

$\mathcal{A}^{**} = (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq u} \mathcal{C}_{B_i}) \cup (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq u_1} \mathcal{C}''_{B_i}) \cup \mathcal{C}'$.

Then (Y, \mathcal{A}^*) is a QS $_{\lambda}(g(v-1) + s)$ with support size $u'_1 n_1 + (v-6)n_2 + m_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq u'} s_i + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{v}{6}-1} h_i$ if $v \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$, and (Y, \mathcal{A}^{**}) is a QS $_{\lambda}(g(v-1) + s)$ with support size $u_1 n_1 + (v-2)n_2 + m_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq u} s_i$ if $v \equiv 2, 4(\bmod 6)$. \square

Combining Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 we have the following result.

Lemma 3.8. Let $v \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$.

- (1) Assume $v \equiv 2, 4(\bmod 6)$ and $\frac{(v-1)(v-2)(v-4)}{24} \geq 3$. If there exist a simple CQS $_{\lambda}(6^3 : s)$ with n_1 blocks, a simple QS $_{\lambda}(6 + s : s)$ with n_2 blocks and a simple QS $_{\lambda}(6 + s)$ with m_1 blocks, then there exists a QS $_{\lambda}(6(v-1) + s)$ with support size l , $w_{6(v-1)+s} \leq l \leq s_{6(v-1)+s}$, where $w_{6(v-1)+s} = \frac{(v-1)(v-2)}{6} n_1 + (v-2)n_2 + m_1 + 9(v-1)(v-2)(v-4) + 14$ and $s_{6(v-1)+s} = \frac{(v-1)(v-2)}{6} n_1 + (v-2)n_2 + m_1 + 27(v-1)(v-2)(v-4)$.
- (2) Assume $v \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$ and $\frac{(v+3)(v-4)(v-6)}{24} \geq 3$. If there exist a simple CQS $_{\lambda}(6^3 : s)$ with n_1 blocks, a simple QS $_{\lambda}(6 + s : s)$ with n_2 blocks and a simple QS $_{\lambda}(30 + s)$ with m_2 blocks, then there exists a QS $_{\lambda}(6(v-1) + s)$ with support size l , $w'_{6(v-1)+s} \leq l \leq s'_{6(v-1)+s}$, where $w'_{6(v-1)+s} = \frac{(v+3)(v-6)}{6} n_1 + (v-6)n_2 + m_2 + 9(v-6)(v^2 - v + 8) + 14$ and $s'_{6(v-1)+s} = \frac{(v+3)(v-6)}{6} n_1 + (v-6)n_2 + m_2 + 27(v-6)(v^2 - v + 8)$.

Remark. Moreover, if there also exists a CQS $(6^3 : s)$ with $189 + 27s$ blocks as in [12], then there exists a QS $_{\lambda}(6(v-1) + s)$ with more support size l , $w_{6(v-1)+s} - (v-1)(v-2)(63 + 9s) \leq l \leq s_{6(v-1)+s}$ if $v \equiv 2, 4(\bmod 6)$, or $w'_{6(v-1)+s} - (v+3)(v-6)(63 + 9s) \leq l \leq s'_{6(v-1)+s}$ if $v \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$.

Lemma 3.9. There exist a simple QS $_{\lambda}(16 : 4)$ and a simple QS $_{\lambda}(6 + s : s)$ for $s \in \{4, 6\}$.

Proof. A simple QS $_{\lambda}(10 : 4)$ will be constructed on Z_{10} with a subset $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. The required 87 blocks are as follows:

$\{0, 1, 4, 5\}, \{0, 1, 4, 6\}, \{0, 1, 4, 7\}, \{0, 1, 5, 6\}, \{0, 1, 5, 8\}, \{0, 1, 6, 9\}, \{0, 1, 7, 8\},$
 $\{0, 1, 7, 9\}, \{0, 1, 8, 9\}, \{0, 2, 4, 5\}, \{0, 2, 4, 6\}, \{0, 2, 4, 7\}, \{0, 2, 5, 6\}, \{0, 2, 5, 8\},$
 $\{0, 2, 6, 9\}, \{0, 2, 7, 8\}, \{0, 2, 7, 9\}, \{0, 2, 8, 9\}, \{0, 3, 4, 7\}, \{0, 3, 4, 8\}, \{0, 3, 4, 9\},$
 $\{0, 3, 5, 7\}, \{0, 3, 5, 8\}, \{0, 3, 5, 9\}, \{0, 3, 6, 7\}, \{0, 3, 6, 8\}, \{0, 3, 6, 9\}, \{0, 4, 5, 9\},$
 $\{0, 4, 6, 8\}, \{0, 4, 8, 9\}, \{0, 5, 6, 7\}, \{0, 5, 7, 9\}, \{0, 6, 7, 8\}, \{1, 2, 4, 7\}, \{1, 2, 4, 8\},$
 $\{1, 2, 4, 9\}, \{1, 2, 5, 7\}, \{1, 2, 5, 8\}, \{1, 2, 5, 9\}, \{1, 2, 6, 7\}, \{1, 2, 6, 8\}, \{1, 2, 6, 9\},$
 $\{1, 3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4, 6\}, \{1, 3, 4, 8\}, \{1, 3, 5, 6\}, \{1, 3, 5, 9\}, \{1, 3, 6, 7\}, \{1, 3, 7, 8\},$

$\{1, 3, 7, 9\}, \{1, 3, 8, 9\}, \{1, 4, 5, 7\}, \{1, 4, 6, 9\}, \{1, 4, 8, 9\}, \{1, 5, 6, 8\}, \{1, 5, 7, 9\},$
 $\{1, 6, 7, 8\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 4, 6\}, \{2, 3, 4, 9\}, \{2, 3, 5, 6\}, \{2, 3, 5, 7\}, \{2, 3, 6, 8\},$
 $\{2, 3, 7, 8\}, \{2, 3, 7, 9\}, \{2, 3, 8, 9\}, \{2, 4, 5, 9\}, \{2, 4, 6, 8\}, \{2, 4, 7, 8\}, \{2, 5, 6, 7\},$
 $\{2, 5, 8, 9\}, \{2, 6, 7, 9\}, \{3, 4, 5, 8\}, \{3, 4, 6, 7\}, \{3, 4, 7, 9\}, \{3, 5, 6, 9\}, \{3, 5, 7, 8\},$
 $\{3, 6, 8, 9\}, \{4, 5, 6, 7\}, \{4, 5, 6, 8\}, \{4, 5, 6, 9\}, \{4, 5, 7, 8\}, \{4, 6, 7, 9\}, \{4, 7, 8, 9\},$
 $\{5, 6, 8, 9\}, \{5, 7, 8, 9\}, \{6, 7, 8, 9\}.$

For simple $QS_3(16 : 4)$, it will be constructed on Z_{16} with a subset $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Let

$F_0 = \{\{0, 4\}, \{1, 6\}, \{2, 5\}, \{3, 8\}, \{7, 10\}, \{9, 14\}, \{11, 13\}, \{12, 15\}\},$
 $F_1 = \{\{0, 5\}, \{1, 7\}, \{2, 6\}, \{3, 4\}, \{8, 10\}, \{9, 15\}, \{11, 12\}, \{13, 14\}\},$
 $F_2 = \{\{0, 6\}, \{1, 5\}, \{2, 7\}, \{3, 11\}, \{4, 13\}, \{8, 14\}, \{9, 12\}, \{10, 15\}\},$
 $F_3 = \{\{0, 7\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 8\}, \{3, 12\}, \{5, 11\}, \{6, 15\}, \{9, 13\}, \{10, 14\}\},$
 $F_4 = \{\{0, 8\}, \{1, 10\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 13\}, \{5, 14\}, \{6, 12\}, \{7, 15\}, \{9, 11\}\},$
 $F_5 = \{\{0, 9\}, \{1, 11\}, \{2, 12\}, \{3, 10\}, \{4, 15\}, \{5, 7\}, \{6, 14\}, \{8, 13\}\},$
 $F_6 = \{\{0, 10\}, \{1, 15\}, \{2, 13\}, \{3, 14\}, \{4, 9\}, \{5, 12\}, \{6, 11\}, \{7, 8\}\},$
 $F_7 = \{\{0, 11\}, \{1, 12\}, \{2, 10\}, \{3, 5\}, \{4, 14\}, \{6, 9\}, \{7, 13\}, \{8, 15\}\},$
 $F_8 = \{\{0, 12\}, \{1, 9\}, \{2, 15\}, \{3, 6\}, \{4, 10\}, \{5, 13\}, \{7, 14\}, \{8, 11\}\},$
 $F_9 = \{\{0, 13\}, \{1, 14\}, \{2, 11\}, \{3, 9\}, \{4, 8\}, \{5, 15\}, \{6, 10\}, \{7, 12\}\},$
 $F_{10} = \{\{0, 14\}, \{1, 8\}, \{2, 9\}, \{3, 15\}, \{4, 12\}, \{5, 10\}, \{6, 13\}, \{7, 11\}\},$
 $F_{11} = \{\{0, 15\}, \{1, 13\}, \{2, 14\}, \{3, 7\}, \{4, 11\}, \{5, 6\}, \{8, 12\}, \{9, 10\}\},$
 $F_{12} = \{\{4, 5\}, \{6, 7\}, \{8, 9\}, \{10, 11\}, \{12, 13\}, \{14, 15\}\},$
 $F_{13} = \{\{4, 6\}, \{5, 8\}, \{7, 9\}, \{10, 12\}, \{11, 14\}, \{13, 15\}\},$
 $F_{14} = \{\{4, 7\}, \{5, 9\}, \{6, 8\}, \{10, 13\}, \{11, 15\}, \{12, 14\}\}.$

The required block set consists of the following four parts:

$\{\{0, 1, a, b\}, \{2, 3, a, b\} : \{a, b\} \in F_{12}\},$
 $\{\{0, 2, a, b\}, \{1, 3, a, b\} : \{a, b\} \in F_{13}\},$
 $\{\{0, 3, a, b\}, \{1, 2, a, b\} : \{a, b\} \in F_{14}\},$
 $\{\{a, b, c, d\} : \{a, b\}, \{c, d\} \in F_k \text{ and } \{a, b\} \neq \{c, d\}, k \in Z_{15}\}.$

For simple $QS_3(12 : 6)$, it will be constructed on $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \cup \{0', 1', 2', 3', 4', 5'\}$ with a subset $\{0', 1', 2', 3', 4', 5'\}$. Let

$F_0 = \{\{0, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{4, 5\}\}, \quad F_1 = \{\{2, 4\}, \{3, 5\}, \{0, 1\}\},$
 $F_2 = \{\{4, 0\}, \{5, 1\}, \{2, 3\}\}, \quad F_3 = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}\},$
 $F_4 = \{\{0, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 5\}\}, \quad F_5 = \{\{0', 2'\}, \{1', 3'\}, \{4', 5'\}\},$
 $F_6 = \{\{2', 4'\}, \{3', 5'\}, \{0', 1'\}\}, \quad F_7 = \{\{4', 0'\}, \{5', 1'\}, \{2', 3'\}\},$
 $F_8 = \{\{1', 2'\}, \{3', 4'\}, \{5', 6'\}\}, \quad F_9 = \{\{0', 3'\}, \{1', 4'\}, \{2', 5'\}\}.$

The required block set consists of the following four parts:

$\{\{a, b, a', b'\} : \{a, b\} \in F_k, \{a', b'\} \in F_{k+5}, k \in Z_5\},$
 $\{\{a, b, a', b'\} : \{a, b\} \in F_k, \{a', b'\} \in F_{k'}, k + 6 \equiv k' \pmod{10}, k \in Z_5\},$
 $\{\{a, b, a', b'\} : \{a, b\} \in F_k, \{a', b'\} \in F_{k'}, k + 7 \equiv k' \pmod{10}, k \in Z_5\},$

and the blocks of a simple $QS_3(6)$ on the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. \square

4. Another construction for QSSs

In order to give another construction for QSSs, we need to introduce *design fragments of types A, B and C* denoted $DFA_3(s)$, $DFB_3(s)$ and $DFC_3(s)$, which are defined as follows: The point set of $DFA_3(s)$ and $DFB_3(s)$ is $(Z_6 \times Z_3) \cup \{\infty_1, \dots, \infty_s\}$, and $DFC_3(s)$ is $Z_6 \times Z_2$. Each consists of blocks of size 4. Blocks in $DFA_3(s)$ and $DFB_3(s)$ are required to intersect each of the three sets $Z_6 \times \{i\}$, $i \in Z_3$. Blocks in $DFC_3(s)$ are required to intersect both of the sets $Z_6 \times \{i\}$, $i \in Z_2$, in two points. Furthermore, the following conditions are required.

- Triples of the form $\{a_0, b_1, c_2\}$ appear precisely three times in $DFA_3(s)$ and three times in $DFB_3(s)$.
- Triples of the form $\{\infty_i, a_0, b_1\}$ appear three times in either one of $DFA_3(s)$ or $DFB_3(s)$.
- Triples of the form $\{\infty_i, a_j, b_k\}$ with $j \neq k$ appear precisely three times in $DFA_3(s)$ ($DFB_3(s)$) if and only if $\{\infty_i, a_0, b_1\}$ appears in $DFA_3(s)$ ($DFB_3(s)$, respectively).
- Triples of the form $\{a_0, b_0, c_1\}$ appear precisely three times in either one of $DFA_3(s)$, $DFB_3(s)$ or $DFC_3(s)$.
- If $\{a_0, b_0, c_1\}$ appears in $DFA_3(s)$ ($DFB_3(s)$) then for $i, j \in Z_3$, $i \neq j$, $\{a_i, b_i, c_j\}$ appears in $DFA_3(s)$ ($DFB_3(s)$, respectively).
- If $\{a_0, b_0, c_1\}$ appears in $DFC_3(s)$, then so does the triple $\{a_1, b_1, c_0\}$.

Here we denoted $(i, j) \in Z_6 \times Z_3$ by i_j for simplicity.

Design fragments $DFA_3(s)$, $DFB_3(s)$ and $DFC_3(s)$ are called *simple* if there are no repeated block.

Lemma 4.1. *There exist simple $DFA_3(2)$, $DFB_3(2)$ and $DFC_3(2)$.*

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \{\{5, 0\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}\}, & F_1 &= \{\{5, 1\}, \{2, 0\}, \{3, 4\}\}, \\ F_2 &= \{\{5, 2\}, \{3, 1\}, \{4, 0\}\}, & F_3 &= \{\{5, 3\}, \{4, 2\}, \{0, 1\}\}, \\ F_4 &= \{\{5, 4\}, \{0, 3\}, \{1, 2\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The block set of $DFA_3(2)$ consists of the following four parts:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\{\infty_1, a_0, b_1, c_2\} : a + b + c = k, k = 0, 2, 4\}, \\ &\{\{\infty_2, a_0, b_1, c_2\} : a + b + c = k, k = 1, 3, 5\}, \\ &\{\{a_i, (a + 2)_i, b_{i+1}, c_{i+2}\} : a + b + c = j, (i, j) = (0, 0), (1, 2), (2, 4)\}, \\ &\{\{a_i, (a + 4)_i, b_{i+1}, c_{i+2}\} : a + b + c = j, (i, j) = (0, 1), (1, 3), (2, 5)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c \in Z_6$.

The block set of $DFB_3(2)$ consists of the following three parts:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\{a_i, (a + 1)_i, b_{i+1}, c_{i+2}\} : a + b + c = j, (i, j) = (0, 0), (1, 2), (2, 4)\}, \\ &\{\{a_i, (a + 3)_i, b_{i+1}, c_{i+2}\} : a + b + c = j, (i, j) = (0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c \in Z_6$.

$$\{\{a_i, (a + 3d)_{i+1}, (k - 2a - 3d)_{i+2}, (k + 1 - 2a - 3d)_{i+2}\} : k = 1, 3, 5\},$$

where $a \in Z_6$, $i \in Z_3$, $b \in \{0, 1\}$.

The block set of $DFC_3(2)$ is as follows:

$$\{\{a_0, b_0, a'_1, b'_1\} : \{a, b\}, \{a', b'\} \in F_k, k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}\}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.2. *There exist simple $DFA_3(4)$, $DFB_3(4)$ and $DFC_3(4)$.*

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \{\{0, 2\}, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 4\}\}, & F_1 &= \{\{2, 4\}, \{1, 5\}, \{0, 3\}\}, \\ F_2 &= \{\{0, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 5\}\}, & F_3 &= \{\{0, 1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}\}, \\ F_4 &= \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 0\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The block set of $DFA_3(4)$ consists of the following three parts:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\{\infty_1, a_0, b_1, c_2\}, \{\infty_3, a_0, b_1, c_2\} : a + b + c = k, k = 0, 2, 4\}, \\ &\{\{\infty_2, a_0, b_1, c_2\}, \{\infty_4, a_0, b_1, c_2\} : a + b + c = k, k = 1, 3, 5\}, \\ &\{\{a_i, (a + 3)_i, b_{i+1}, c_{i+2}\} : a + b + c = j, (i, j) = (0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c \in Z_6$.

The block set of $DFB_3(4)$ consists of the following three parts:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\{a_i, (a + 1)_i, b_{i+1}, c_{i+2}\} : a + b + c = j, (i, j) = (0, 0), (1, 2), (2, 4)\}, \\ &\{\{a_i, (a + 2)_i, b_{i+1}, c_{i+2}\} : a + b + c = j, (i, j) = (0, 0), (1, 2), (2, 4)\}, \\ &\{\{a_i, (a + 4)_i, b_{i+1}, c_{i+2}\} : a + b + c = j, (i, j) = (0, 1), (1, 3), (2, 5)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c \in Z_6$.

The block set of $DFC_3(4)$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\{a_0, b_0, a'_1, b'_1\} : \{a, b\}, \{a', b'\} \in F_k, k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}\}. \\ &\{\{a_0, b_0, a'_1, b'_1\} : \{a, b\} \in F_k, \{a', b'\} \in F_{k'}, (k, k') = (3, 4), (4, 3)\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

In the following construction we also begin with an SQS(v) ($X \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2\}$, \mathcal{B}) in Theorem 1.1 if $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ or a CQS ($6^{\frac{v}{6}} : 0$) ($X \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2\}$, \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{A}) in Lemma 3.1 if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, but delete two points ∞_1 and ∞_2 (we need ∞_1 and ∞_2 to belong to the same group G_0 in CQS ($6^{\frac{v}{6}} : 0$) when $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$). Let $\mathcal{B}_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}} = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : \{\infty_1, \infty_2\} \subset B\}$, $\mathcal{B}_{\infty_1} = \{B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}} : \infty_1 \in B\}$, $\mathcal{B}_{\infty_2} = \{B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}} : \infty_2 \in B\}$, $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}} \cup \mathcal{B}_{\infty_1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{\infty_2})$. We know that $|\mathcal{B}'| = \frac{(v-2)(v^2-9v+20)}{24}$, $|\mathcal{B}_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}}| = \frac{v-2}{2}$ and $|\mathcal{B}_{\infty_1}| = |\mathcal{B}_{\infty_2}| = \frac{(v-2)(v-4)}{6}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}} = \{B \in \mathcal{A} : \{\infty_1, \infty_2\} \subset B\}$, $\mathcal{A}_{\infty_1} = \{B \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}} : \infty_1 \in B\}$, $\mathcal{A}_{\infty_2} = \{B \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}} : \infty_2 \in B\}$, $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \setminus (\mathcal{A}_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}} \cup \mathcal{A}_{\infty_1} \cup \mathcal{A}_{\infty_2})$. We know that $|\mathcal{A}'| = \frac{(v-6)(v^2-5v-12)}{24}$, $|\mathcal{A}_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}}| = \frac{v-6}{2}$ and $|\mathcal{A}_{\infty_1}| = |\mathcal{A}_{\infty_2}| = \frac{v(v-6)}{6}$. Let $u = \frac{v(v-6)}{24}$, $u_1 = \frac{(v-2)(v-4)}{6}$, $u' = \frac{(v-6)(v^2-5v-12)}{24}$ and $u'_1 = \frac{v(v-6)}{6}$.

Construction 4.3. Let $v \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Assume the following exist:

1. u GDD₃ (3, 4, 4g)s of type g^4 , each of which has support size s_i , $1 \leq i \leq u$, if $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$, or u' GDD₃ (3, 4, 4g)s of type g^4 , each of which has support size s_i , $1 \leq i \leq u'$ if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$,
2. $\frac{v}{6} - 1$ GDD₃ (3, 4, 6g)s of type g^6 , each of which has support size h_i , $1 \leq i \leq \frac{v}{6} - 1$, if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$,
3. simple DFA₃(s), DFB₃(s) and DFC₃(s), each of which has n_1 , n_2 and n_3 blocks, respectively,
4. a simple QS₃ (12 + s : s) with m_1 blocks,
5. a simple QS₃ (12 + s) with m_2 blocks if $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$, or a simple QS₃ (24 + s) with m_3 blocks if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$,

Then there exists a QS₃ ($6(v-2) + s$) with support size $m_2 + \frac{v-4}{2}m_1 + u_1(n_1 + n_2 + 3n_3) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq u} s_i$ if $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$, or with support size $m_3 + \frac{v-6}{2}m_1 + u'_1(n_1 + n_2) + \frac{(v-6)(v-8)}{2}n_3 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq u'} s_i + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{v}{6}-1} h_i$ if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. We begin with an SQS(v) if $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ or a CQS ($6^{\frac{v}{6}} : 0$) if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, and delete two point ∞_1 and ∞_2 (we need ∞_1 and ∞_2 to belong to the same group G_0 when $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$) to get a CS ($2^{\frac{v-2}{2}} : 0$) with block sizes {3, 4} (X , $\mathcal{B}'_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}}$, $\mathcal{B}' \cup \mathcal{B}'_{\infty_1} \cup \mathcal{B}'_{\infty_2}$) (where $\mathcal{B}'_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}} = \{B \setminus \{\infty_1, \infty_2\} : B \in \mathcal{B}_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}}\}$, $\mathcal{B}'_{\infty_1} = \{B \setminus \{\infty_1\} : B \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty_1}\}$ and $\mathcal{B}'_{\infty_2} = \{B \setminus \{\infty_2\} : B \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty_2}\}$) if $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ or a CS ($2^{\frac{v-6}{2}} 4^1 : 0$) with block sizes {3, 4, 6} (X , $\mathcal{A}'_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}} \cup \{G_0 \setminus \{\infty_1, \infty_2\}\}$, $\mathcal{A}' \cup \mathcal{A}'_{\infty_1} \cup \mathcal{A}'_{\infty_2} \cup (\mathcal{B}' \setminus \{G_0\})$) (where $\mathcal{A}'_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}} = \{B \setminus \{\infty_1, \infty_2\} : B \in \mathcal{A}_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}}\}$, $\mathcal{A}'_{\infty_1} = \{B \setminus \{\infty_1\} : B \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty_1}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}'_{\infty_2} = \{B \setminus \{\infty_2\} : B \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty_2}\}$) if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. We shall construct the desired design on $Y = (X \times Z_6) \cup S$, where $S \cap (X \times Z_6) = \emptyset$ and $|S| = s$.

For each block $B_i \in \mathcal{B}'$ or $B_i \in \mathcal{A}'$, construct a GDD₃ (3, 4, 4g) of type g^4 with support size s_i on $B_i \times Z_g$ with groups $\{x\} \times Z_g$, $x \in B_i$. Denote its block set by \mathcal{C}_{B_i} .

For each block $B_i \in \mathcal{B}' \setminus \{G_0\}$, construct a GDD₃ (3, 4, 6g) of type g^6 with support size h_i on $B_i \times Z_g$ with groups $\{x\} \times Z_g$, $x \in B_i$. Denote its block set by \mathcal{C}'_{B_i} . It is easy to see that the number of blocks in $\mathcal{B}' \setminus \{G_0\}$ is $\frac{v}{6} - 1$.

For each block $B \in \mathcal{B}'_{\infty_1}$ or $B \in \mathcal{A}'_{\infty_1}$, construct a simple DFA₃(s) with n_1 blocks on $B \times Z_g$. Denote its block set by \mathcal{C}''_B .

For each block $B \in \mathcal{B}'_{\infty_2}$ or $B \in \mathcal{A}'_{\infty_2}$, construct a simple DFB₃(s) with n_2 blocks on $(B \times Z_g) \cup S$. Denote its block set by \mathcal{C}'''_B .

For each pair $\{x, y\} \subset X$, $\{x, y\} \notin \mathcal{B}'_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}}$, or $\{x, y\} \subset X \setminus G_0$, $\{x, y\} \notin \mathcal{A}'_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}}$, construct a simple DFC₃(s) with n_3 blocks on $\{x, y\} \times Z_g$. Denote its block set by $\mathcal{C}_{\{x, y\}}$. It is easy to see that the number of these pairs is $\frac{(v-2)(v-4)}{2}$ or $\frac{(v-6)(v-8)}{2}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}^* = (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq u} \mathcal{C}_{B_i}) \cup (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{v}{6}-1} \mathcal{C}'_{B_i}) \cup (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq u'} (\mathcal{C}''_{B_i} \cup \mathcal{C}'''_{B_i})) \cup (\cup_{\{x, y\} \subset X \setminus G_0 \text{ and } \{x, y\} \notin \mathcal{A}'_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}}} \mathcal{C}_{\{x, y\}})$, and $\mathcal{A}^{**} = (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq u} \mathcal{C}_{B_i}) \cup (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq u_1} (\mathcal{C}''_{B_i} \cup \mathcal{C}'''_{B_i})) \cup (\cup_{\{x, y\} \subset X \text{ and } \{x, y\} \notin \mathcal{B}'_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}}} \mathcal{C}_{\{x, y\}})$.

Then $(Y, S, \{B \times Z_6 : B \in \mathcal{A}'_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}}\} \cup \{(G_0 \setminus \{\infty_1, \infty_2\}) \times Z_6\}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ is a CQS₃ ($12^{\frac{v-6}{2}} 24 : s$) with support size $u'_1(n_1 + n_2) + \frac{(v-6)(v-8)}{2}n_3 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq u'} s_i + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{v}{6}-1} h_i$ if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, and $(Y, S, \{B \times Z_6 : B \in \mathcal{A}'_{\{\infty_1, \infty_2\}}\}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ is a CQS₃ ($12^{\frac{v-2}{2}} : s$) with support size $u_1(n_1 + n_2) + \frac{(v-2)(v-4)}{2}n_3 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq u} s_i$ if $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$.

The result then follows from Construction 3.6. \square

Combining Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 we have the following result.

Lemma 4.4. Let $v \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

- (1) Assume $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$ and $\frac{(v-2)(v^2-9v+20)}{24} \geq 3$. If there exist a simple QS₃ (12 + s : s) with m_1 blocks, a simple QS₃ (12 + s) with m_2 blocks, and a simple DFA₃(s), DFB₃(s) and DFC₃(s), each of which has n_1 , n_2 and n_3 blocks, respectively, then there exists a QS₃ ($6(v-2) + s$) with support size l , $d_{6(v-2)+s} \leq l \leq g_{6(v-2)+s}$, where $d_{6(v-2)+s} = m_2 + \frac{v-4}{2}m_1 + \frac{(v-2)(v-4)}{6}(n_1 + n_2 + 3n_3) + 9(v-2)(v^2-9v+20) + 14$ and $g_{6(v-2)+s} = m_2 + \frac{v-4}{2}m_1 + \frac{(v-2)(v-4)}{6}(n_1 + n_2 + 3n_3) + 27(v-2)(v^2-9v+20)$.
- (2) Assume $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $\frac{(v-6)(v^2-5v+12)}{24} \geq 3$. If there exist a simple QS₃ (12 + s : s) with m_1 blocks, a simple QS₃ (24 + s) with m_3 blocks, and simple DFA₃(s), DFB₃(s) and DFC₃(s), each of which has n_1 , n_2 and n_3 blocks, respectively, then there exists a QS₃ ($6(v-2) + s$) with support size l , $d'_{6(v-2)+s} \leq l \leq g'_{6(v-2)+s}$, where $d'_{6(v-2)+s} = m_3 + \frac{v-6}{2}m_1 + u'_1(n_1 + n_2) + \frac{(v-6)(v-8)}{2}n_3 + 9(v-6)(v^2-5v+8) + 14$ and $g'_{6(v-2)+s} = m_3 + \frac{v-6}{2}m_1 + u'_1(n_1 + n_2) + \frac{(v-6)(v-8)}{2}n_3 + 27(v-6)(v^2-5v+8)$.

Table 5.1

Ingredients	Number of blocks of size four
SimpleDFA ₃ (2),DFB ₃ (2),DFC ₃ (2)	432, 324, 45
SimpleDFA ₃ (4),DFB ₃ (4),DFC ₃ (4)	540, 324, 63
Simple CQS ₃ (6 ³ : 0)	567
Simple CQS ₃ (6 ³ : 2)	729
Simple CQS ₃ (6 ³ : 4)	891
Simple CQS ₃ (6 ³ : 6)	1053
Simple QS ₃ (10 : 4)	87
Simple QS ₃ (12 : 6)	150
Simple QS ₃ (16 : 4)	417
Simple QS ₃ (6)	15
Simple QS ₃ (8)	42
Simple QS ₃ (10)	90
Simple QS ₃ (12)	165
Simple QS ₃ (14)	273
Simple QS ₃ (16)	420
Simple QS ₃ (26)	1950
Simple QS ₃ (28)	2457
Simple QS ₃ (30)	3045
Simple QS ₃ (32)	3720
Simple QS ₃ (34)	4488
Simple QS ₃ (36)	5355

Remark. Moreover, if there also exist DFA(s) and DFB(s) with $243 + 27s$ blocks as in [13], then there exists a QS₃($6(v-2) + s$) with greater support size l , $d_{6(v-2)+s} - (v-2)(v-4)(81+9s) \leq l \leq g_{6(v-2)+s}$ if $v \equiv 2, 4(\text{mod } 6)$, or $d'_{6(v-2)+s} - v(v-6)(81+9s) \leq l \leq g'_{6(v-2)+s}$ if $v \equiv 0(\text{mod } 6)$.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.4. Having determined certain possible support sizes, we now consider in more detail the number of blocks of size 4 in each ingredient used in Lemmas 3.8 and 4.4, however they are tabulated in Table 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof consists of the following two parts.

For the case $v \equiv 2, 4(\text{mod } 12)$ and $v \geq 38$, write $v = 6u + s$, $s = 2, 4$, where $u \equiv 0(\text{mod } 2)$. We know that there exist an SQS($u+2$) for $u \equiv 0, 2(\text{mod } 6)$, and a CQS($6^{\frac{u+2}{6}} : 0$) for $u \equiv 4(\text{mod } 6)$. The result for $v \geq 74$ follows from Lemma 4.4 with the facts that $d_{6u+s} < 2q_{6u+s}$ and $g_{6u+s} = 3q_{6u+s}$ for $u \equiv 0, 2(\text{mod } 6)$ and $d'_{6u+s} < 2q_{6u+s}$ and $g'_{6u+s} = 3q_{6u+s}$ for $u \equiv 4(\text{mod } 6)$. Here we need simple QS₃($12+s : s$), simple QS₃($12+s$), simple QS₃($24+s$) and simple DFA₃(s), DFB₃(s) and DFC₃(s) for $s = 2, 4$ as input designs, which come from Theorem 1.2, Lemma 3.9 and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2. The result for $38 \leq v < 74$ follows from Lemma 4.4 and its Remark with the facts that $d_{6u+s} - (v-2)(v-4)(27+3s) < 2q_{6u+s}$ and $g_{6u+s} = 3q_{6u+s}$ for $u \equiv 0, 2(\text{mod } 6)$ and $d'_{6u+s} - v(v-6)(27+3s) < 2q_{6u+s}$ and $g'_{6u+s} = 3q_{6u+s}$ for $u \equiv 4(\text{mod } 6)$. Here we need DFA(s) and DFB(s) for $s = 2, 4$ as input designs, which come from [10,13].

For the case $v \equiv 6, 8, 10, 12(\text{mod } 12)$ with $v \geq 42$, write $v = 6u + s$, $s = 0, 2, 4, 6$. We know that there exist an SQS($u+1$) for $u \equiv 1, 3(\text{mod } 6)$, and a CQS($6^{\frac{u+1}{6}} : 0$) for $u \equiv 5(\text{mod } 6)$. The result for $v \geq 42$ and $v \notin \{44, 46, 48, 60\}$ follows from Lemma 3.8 with the facts that $w_{6u+s} < 2q_{6u+s}$ and $s_{6u+s} = 3q_{6u+s}$ for $u \equiv 1, 3(\text{mod } 6)$ and $s \neq 6$, $w_{6u+s} < 2q_{6u+s} + 5u + \frac{5s}{6}$ and $s_{6u+s} = 3q_{6u+s}$ for $u \equiv 1, 3(\text{mod } 6)$ and $s = 6$, $w'_{6u+s} < 2q_{6u+s}$ and $s'_{6u+s} = 3q_{6u+s}$ for $u \equiv 5(\text{mod } 6)$ and $s \neq 6$ and $w'_{6u+s} < 2q_{6u+s} + 5u + \frac{5s}{6}$, and $s'_{6u+s} = 3q_{6u+s}$ for $u \equiv 5(\text{mod } 6)$ and $s = 6$. Here we need simple CQS₃($6^3 : s$), simple QS₃($6+s : s$), simple QS₃($6+s$) and simple QS₃($30+s$) for $s = 0, 2, 4, 6$ as input designs, which come from Theorem 1.2, Lemma 3.9 and Lemmas 3.2–3.5. The result for $v \in \{44, 46, 48, 60\}$ follows from Lemma 3.8 and its Remark with the facts that $w_{6u+s} - u(u-1)(63+9s) < 2q_{6u+s}$ and $s_{6u+s} = 3q_{6u+s}$ for $u \equiv 1, 3(\text{mod } 6)$ and $s \neq 6$, $w_{6u+s} - u(u-1)(63+9s) < 2q_{6u+s} + 5u + \frac{5s}{6}$ and $s_{6u+s} = 3q_{6u+s}$ for $u \equiv 1, 3(\text{mod } 6)$ and $s = 6$, $w'_{6u+s} - (u+4)(u-5)(63+9s) < 2q_{6u+s}$ and $s'_{6u+s} = 3q_{6u+s}$ for $u \equiv 5(\text{mod } 6)$ and $s \neq 6$ and $w'_{6u+s} - (u+4)(u-5)(63+9s) < 2q_{6u+s} + 5u + \frac{5s}{6}$, and $s'_{6u+s} = 3q_{6u+s}$ for $u \equiv 5(\text{mod } 6)$ and $s = 6$. Here we need CQS($6^3 : s$) for $s = 2, 4, 6$ as input designs, which come from [12]. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the anonymous referees for their comments on improving the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] P. Adams, E.J. Billington, D.E. Bryant, E.S. Mahmoodian, The three-way intersection problem for Latin squares, Discrete Math. 243 (2002) 1–19.
- [2] S. Ajoodani-Namini, Latin and semi-Latin factorizations of complete graphs and support sizes of quadruple systems, Austral. J. Combin. 12 (1995) 37–58.

- [3] C.J. Colbourn, A. Hartman, Intersections and supports of quadruple systems, *Discrete Math.* 97 (1991) 119–137.
- [4] C.J. Colbourn, C.C. Lindner, Support sizes of triple systems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 61 (1992) 193–210.
- [5] C.J. Colbourn, E.S. Mahmoodian, The spectrum of support sizes for threefold systems, *Discrete Math.* 83 (1990) 9–19.
- [6] C.J. Colbourn, E.S. Mahmoodian, Support sizes of sixfold triple systems, *Discrete Math.* 115 (1999) 103–131.
- [7] C.J. Colbourn, S. Milici, Support sizes of triple systems with small index, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 6 (1989) 155–161.
- [8] W. Foody, A. Hedayat, On theory and applications of BIB designs with repeated blocks, *Ann. Statist.* 5 (1977) 932–945.
- [9] H.-L. Fu, On the constructions and applications of two 1-factorizations with prescribed intersections, *Tamkang J. Math.* 16 (1985) 117–124.
- [10] H. Hanani, On quadruple systems, *Canad. J. Math.* 12 (1960) 145–157.
- [11] H. Hanani, On some tactical configurations, *Canad. J. Math.* 15 (1963) 702–722.
- [12] A. Hartman, A general recursive construction for quadruple systems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 33 (1982) 121–134.
- [13] A. Hartman, W.H. Mills, R.C. Mullin, Covering triples by quadruples: an asymptotic solution, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 41 (1986) 117–138.
- [14] A. Hartman, K.T. Phelps, Steiner quadruple systems, in: J.H. Dinitz, D.R. Stinson (Eds.), *Contemporary Design Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1992, pp. 205–240.
- [15] A. Hartman, Z. Yehudai, Intersections of Steiner quadruple systems, *Discrete Math.* 104 (1991) 227–244.
- [16] W.H. Mills, On the covering of triples by quadruple, in: *Proc. 5th Southeastern Conf. Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*, 1974, pp. 563–581.
- [17] H. Mohacsy, D.K. Ray-Chaudhuri, Candelabra systems and designs, *J. Statis. Plann. Infer.* 106 (2002) 419–448.
- [18] K.T. Phelps, D.R. Stinson, S.A. Vanstone, The existence of simple $S_3(3, 4, v)$, *Discrete Math.* 77 (1989) 255–258.
- [19] A. Rosa, D.G. Hoffman, The number of repeated blocks in twofold triple systems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 41 (1986) 61–88.